#### Nathan Ehlinger

Senior Biologist at POWER Engineers with 15+ years of environmental consulting and permitting experience in the Eastern and Midwest U.S.



#### **Overhead Transmission Line Construction in Floodways**



#### Minimizing Long-Term Impacts from Temporary Construction

Presented by: Nathan Ehlinger 2023 INAFSM Conference





# Agenda

>>>> Identifying Regulatory Stakeholders

>>>> Identifying Project Constraints

>>>> Permitting

- Understanding where jurisdictions can often overlap:
- >>> MS4 District (Municipal/County)
- >>>> County Soil & Water Conservation District
- >>>> IDNR and USFWS
- >>>> IDNR Division of Historic Preservation and Archaeology (Indiana SHPO)
- >>>> Indiana Department of Environmental Management
- >>>> U.S. Army Corps of Engineers



MS4 Districts (Municipal/County):

- MS4 stormwater ordinances specific to overhead transmission line construction
- >>>> Projects extending through multiple MS4 Districts





County Soil & Water Conservation District:

**>>>>** Erosion and Sedimentation, Ground Disturbance

>>>> County Regulated Drain Easements (surface and subsurface)





#### Indiana Department of Natural Resources and U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service:

- >>>> Threatened and Endangered Species
- >>>> Managed Lands
- **Floodways and Floodplains**







IDNR Division of Historic Preservation and Archaeology:

>>>> Historic Properties (Archaeological and Architectural)



Mermon Expanding Stem

Trimble Side-Notched

- Indiana Department of Environmental Management:
- Erosion and Sedimentation
- >>>> Waters of the U.S.
- >>>> Waters of the State





- U.S. Army Corps of Engineers:
- >>>> Traditionally Navigable Waters
- >>>> Waters of the US
- Civil Works (levees, dams)





# Case Study 1 - Judy Creek, Urban Area

#### St. Joseph County

>>> Multiple MS4 Districts (St. Joseph County, South Bend, St. Mary's College Notre Dame)



#### >>>> IDNR



>>>> USACE



#### Case Study 2 - Fish Creek Floodway, Rural Area

DeKalb County

- >>>> DeKalb County SWCD
- >>>> The Nature Conservancy
- >>>> IDNR Division of Nature Preserves >>>>> USACE

>>>> U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service

>>>> IDEM

Amilian STELISEN CO. STELISEN DEXALB CO. DEXALB CO



# Agenda

#### >>>> Identifying Regulatory Stakeholders

#### 

Best Management Practices

>>>> Permitting

#### Identifying Project Constraints

Potential Threatened and Endangered Species

>>>> IDNR-DNP Natural Heritage Data Center Review

>>>> USFWS Information Planning and Consultation Review

Sei. Name	Com. Name	State Fol.	Date	Sile
Amphibian				
Acre Reported	Banchard's origina. Inst	'Æ3	2011	KIRE YARD - CLARK JUNCTION
-trocheshika				
aniloonen	Orienterwater	20	204	2014 ACCMER CARTINE BPS CARD. & D. MERZINSKY CENTER
Plantinaryski	(andonese)	GE	2014	A FORLOGNETTISL RESPARATE & DEVELOCHEDIT CENTER
eksandepar delse ne	skocke entrenne Zoer ne op der	210	2014	ACCRESCENTIFICE REPORTS
Berk				
Camports Ingrant	113 CT 04.91	30	.wi	IT ARK T TECTION
High Quality Natural	Community	2010	39.70	
Prairie confishe	D.y hadbane	92	1978	CTASKE CLASSING ACCURATE
inatu - Jana da	Universite Province	80	20:	IS JOINCHON BAY CREATE C
Pain and denue	Dis acce Saul Pairle	80	1978	CLARKE CONTROL WEST SHE
inato-zala Aj zesti	Desnos Sections	N	200	IS JOINCROWSAND ROOM
Pare asiro	Weißmille	20	2026	BUTTERN FLOOF RACKT FRANKLE
HURSES-ISON	blyzh -	80°	A12	DUD ONCHON SAN CHEMIC 1
Faint - novê	Mash	89		CLARKE CONTROL WELL SHE
никал-раны	Parts	87	200	CARK 1 , ECHONIW 31
Reptile		Constant and the second		
Cleaning of generation of the	sj., God Little	SD 7	2012	CLAPK RIVERON
Vascober Plans				
лыгырдыгы лынат	babar	51	.991	
instadiyahidar Kasi ulen	herteny	31	. was	DUP INCLUSION SAY 1 RAIRE (
Zitale apagene	popul Liza	81	2022	CLARE AND FINE, GARY LABORED



The enclosed species list identifies threatend, and any series, proposed and candidate species, and well as proposed and final designated critical habits, that may necar within the boundary of your approved project ana/or may be affected by your proposed project. The species list full lists the requirements of the U.S. This and Widdlife Service (Service) under section 7(2) of the Endengered Species Act (Act) of 1973, as an another (16 U.S.C. 1933) as ready.

New information based on updated us-eye, then ges in the bunchance and distinction of species, charged hashar conditions, or other factors could charge this list. Hence her less to contact as if you need more convent information or a sistance regarding the potential largets to federally proposed, listed, and could also species and federally designated and proposed critical babses. Preses not have under SD CFR 402.12(c) at the regulacional police nears perceive of at the design proposed, listed, and could also species and federally designated and proposed critical babses. Preses not have under SD CFR 402.12(c) at the regulacional police nears perceive of at the Act, the excouncy of this species list should be verified after 90 days. This verification be completed formating or calormality as destred. The Service recommends that verification be completed barry violating the EOOS-IPaC website as regular intervals during project plancing and unpiementation for updates to species lists and information. Act updated list may be requested through the EOOS-IPaC system by completing the same process used to receive the nearboard.

The purpose of the Art is to provide a means whereby threatened and codiogated species and the ecceptions support which they depend may be cross-twell. Jinder sections  $T_0(X_1)$  and  $T_0(X_2)$  of the Art and its indiparticiting regularisms (SG CIFR 4.22 crack). Thefault agencies are required to utilize bein authorities to carry our programs for the conservation of threatened and endangered species and to determine whether projects may effect threatened and endangered species and to determine whether projects may effect threatened and endangered species and to determine whether projects may effect threatened and endangered species and the determine whether projects may effect threatened and endangered species and the determine whether projects may effect threatened and endangered species and the determine whether projects may effect threatened and endangered species and the determine whether projects may effect threatened and endangered species and the determine whether projects may effect threatened and endangered species and the determine whether projects may effect threatened and endangered species and the determine whether projects may effect threatened and endangered species and the determine whether projects may effect threatened and endangered species and the determine whether projects may effect threatened and endangered species and the determine whether projects may effect threatened and endangered species and the determine whether projects may effect threatened and endangered species and the determine whether projects may effect threatened and endangered species and the determine whether projects may effect threatened and endangered species and the determine whether projects may effect threatened and endangered species and the determine whether projects may effect threatened and endangered species and the determine whether projects may effect threatened and endangered species and the determine whether projects may effect threatened and endance and the determine whether and the determine whether and t

Please use the species int provided and visit the LLS. First and "Windle Service's Region 3 Section 7 Technical Assistance website at - <u>http://www.lws.gov/or/ews/decome//</u> signoces/doct.html. This website contains step-by-step instructions which will belp you



# Identifying Project Constraints

Due Diligence

- >>>> Stream and wetland delineation
- >>>> Suitable habitat for threatened and endangered species
- >>>> Cultural Resources (Archaeological, Architectural)
- >>>> Constructability concerns





## Identifying Project Constraints

- **Transmission Line Design and Construction Practices**
- >>>> Coordinate with engineering staff
- >>>> Coordinate with construction management staff
- >>>> Coordinate with regulatory stakeholders





## Case Study 1 - Judy Creek, Urban Area

#### St. Joseph County

>>>> Transmission line structures in floodway

>>>> Jurisdictional wetlands

>>>> Document pre-construction wetland disturbance

>>>> Phased construction





#### Case Study 2 - Fish Creek Floodway, Rural Area

#### DeKalb County

- >>> Proposed transmission line structures in the floodway and floodplain
- >>>> Isolated right-of-way
- >>>> Stream crossing
- >>>> Jurisdictional wetlands
- >>>> IDNR Nature Preserve



#### Case Study 2 - Fish Creek Floodway, Rural Area

DeKalb County

>>>> Federally endangered mussel species

>>>> Existing structure in Fish Creek









# Agenda

>>>> Identifying Project Constraints

>>>> Permitting

#### **Best Management Practices**

#### Minimizing Ground Disturbance and Stream/Wetland Impacts in Floodways:

- >>>> Utilize existing construction entrances and access routes
- >>>> Timber Matting
- >>>> Stream and wetland crossings



#### **Best Management Practices**

- **Temporary Erosion and Sedimentation Controls**
- >>>> Filter Sock or Silt Fence
- **>>>>** Entrances, Access and Workspaces
- >>>> Concrete Washouts

#### SILT FENCE

# Exhibit 2

#### SITE ACCESS & PREPARATION

#### Temporary Construction Ingress/Egress Pad (Small Sites-Less Than Two Acres)



A temporary construction ingress/egress pad is a sediment control measure, convisting of a stabiliked aggregate pad with geotextile underlapment, used at any point where construction traffic will be transvrstig herween a small construction sile and the adjoining public right-of-way or street.

#### Purpose

- To provide stable entrance/exit conditions from an individual lot or building site.
- To keep mud and sediment off of public roadways.

#### Specifications

#### Location

Avoid locating on steep slopes or at curves in public roads.

#### Dimensions

- Width 12 feet minimum or full width of entrance/exit drive, whichever is greater.
- Length 50 feet minimum or full length of drive, whichever is greater.
  Thickness six inches minimum.

#### Materials

- One to two and one-half inch diameter washed aggregate [INDOT CA No. 2 (see Appendix D)].
- One-half to one and one-half inch washed aggregate [INDOT CA No. 53 (see Appendix D); optional, used primarily where the purpose of the pad is to keep soil from adhering to vehicle tires].

October 2007

Chapter 7 21

#### **Best Management Practices**

Non-structural Considerations

>>>> Mechanized vs. Non-mechanized Tree Clearing

>>>> Tracked vehicles and equipment for low ground pressure

>>>> Vehicle and Equipment Cleaning

>>>> Temporary Soil Stockpiling

Stream and Wetland RestorationSeed Mix





#### Case Study 1 - Judy Creek, Urban Area

- St. Joseph County
- **Best Management Practices**
- >>>> Timber matted access and workspace
- >>>> Filter Sock and Construction Barrier Fencing
- >>>> Concrete washout
- >>>> Stream and Wetland Restoration Plan



#### Case Study 2 - Fish Creek Floodway, Rural Area

DeKalb County

**Best Management Practices** 

>>>> Timber matted access and workspace

>>>> Filter Sock and Construction Barrier Fencing

>>>> Stream and Wetland Restoration Plan

**>>>** Right-of-way Access: Least Impactful Method?

Structure Removal in Fish Creek: How? When?





# Agenda

**Best Management Practices** 

>>>> Permitting

>>>> Case Studies

IDNR and USFWS Coordination:

>>>> IDNR Environmental Review Request

>>>> USFWS Technical Review Request





#### IDNR Permit for Construction in a Floodway:

- General License for Utility Line crossings:312 IAC 10-5-2 through 4
- Tree Clearing within Floodways for ROW: IDNR – greater than 1 acre requires a written license USFWS – seasonal restrictions related to threatened and endangered bat species IDEM –forested wetlands
- Structures within 75-feet of Top of Bank
- >>>> Other Construction Activity



![](_page_32_Figure_7.jpeg)

IDNR Authorization Worksheet for Utility Line Maintenance or Replacement:

**>>>** Criteria related to:

In-stream work

Stream crossing types and materials

Tree clearing outside existing easement

Transmission Line sag elevation above OHWM

>>>> If criteria are met, no notification required

![](_page_33_Picture_8.jpeg)

MS4/SWCD Approval and CSGP Compliance

>>> MS4 and/or County SWCD SWPPP Review/Approval

>>>> IDEM CSGP Notice of Intent

![](_page_34_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_5.jpeg)

CWA 401, 404 and 408 and Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10:

>>>> Transmission line spanning Traditionally Navigable Waters

- >>>> Permanent fill in Waters of the U.S. or Waters of the State
- >>>> Permanent fill and temporary construction activity potentially affecting USACE Civil Works Projects

![](_page_35_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_6.jpeg)

## Case Study 1 - Judy Creek, Urban Area

St. Joseph County

**Required Permitting** 

- >>>> Project SWPPP review by multiple MS4 Districts
- >>>> IDNR Permit for Construction in a Floodway
- >>>> IDEM Section 401 WQC Regional General Permit
- >>>> USACE Section 404 NWP 57

![](_page_36_Picture_7.jpeg)

#### Case Study 2 - Fish Creek Floodway, Rural Area

DeKalb County

**Required Permitting** 

>>>> Project SWPPP review by County SWCD

>>>> IDNR Permit for Construction in a Floodway

>>>> IDEM Section 401 WQC Regional General Permit

>>>> USACE Section 404 NWP 57

![](_page_37_Picture_7.jpeg)

#### Key Takeaways

Identify potential overlapping jurisdictions early

Due Diligence is critical to project success Coordinate early and often with Regulatory Stakeholders

# **Questions?**

![](_page_39_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### Nathan Ehlinger (937) 477-7684 nathan.ehlinger@powereng.com

![](_page_39_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Picture_5.jpeg)